Previous references.
CS- 266-11(1-4)

1902. 13
(CS)

File No. 286-12
Serial Nos. 1-7

Later references.
CS 284-12 (1 to 16)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-7

Part B. for Nov. 13

SUBJECT.
Result of the trial of G. Narain Sastri, late Central Nazir, Bangalore.

1. From The Public Prosecutor Bangalore Dn
Reports the result of the complaints filed
2. To The Regr Chf Ct 3583 Dy 21/5/13.
Enquires what the amount are that are said to have been misappropriated
3. From The Public Prosecutor Nc Dy 1/7/13.
Intimates that the revision petition filed was dismissed.
4. From The Regr Chf Ct 581/35 Dy 1/8/13.
Forwards a copy of a letter from the Dt Judge & states that the report of the Spl Audit shd be awaited for a correct estimation of the amount misappropriated

G. R. S. 58

M. Ghouse
16.10.14

5. To The Public Prosecutor, Bg. Dn.
J 567 Of 14/8/13.
Enquires under whose sanction
the various revision proceedings were insti-
-tuted

6. From The Same Asst No. Of 1878/14
States that they were instituted
on the strength of the Construction
placed by the Dt Judge & the Sub-Judge
on the G.O.

7. To The Public Prosecutor } J 1316-7 Of 3 11/13.
The Comptr to Govt. }
Sanctions the six bills

G.R.S. 11]

1912-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES

File No. 286 of 1912-13

FILE HEADING.

Result of the trial of G. Narayana Sastri, late Central Nazir, Bangalore.

From

The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Dist.

No. Dated 21.4.1913 Received 22/23.4.1913

Diary No.	Enclos. 7	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
J3016			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports the result of the complaints filed against G. Narayana Sastri, late Central Nazir, and submits his bills in the cases for orders of Govt.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

7/5/13

In pursuance of G.O. no. J3148-50/65-266-11-2 of the 27th April 1912, three complaints were filed against G. Narayana Sastry, late Central Nazir, before the City Magistrate of Bangalore in two of which he was acquitted and in the third

P.T.O

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—27-6-11

Serial No.

Paper under Disposal

Cases to Do—

Flag X

W

Z

Encl 2 to C5-286-12-2

he was discharged. A revision petition is now reported to be pending before the Dist. Mgte., Bangalore, against this order of discharge.

2 The Public Prosecutor has subd five bills in all — three for the cases conducted before the City Mgte, one ~~each~~ for the Crl. revision cases filed in the Chief Court which were all dismissed on the ground that they should have, in the first instance, been filed in the Court of the Dist. Mgte, and the fifth for the costs incurred in the case.

3 The bill for the cases conducted in the Chief Court has been countersigned by the Presidy Judge for Rs 106-10-8 at two-thirds of Rs 161- per day for each case under para 7(3) of G.O. No. 1932-85-J137 of 02-03, dy 16.4.1904.

4 With regard to the three bills for the cases conducted before the City Mgte, the Public Prosecutor has claimed Rs 161- for each case per day although more than one case was conducted on the days in question and the presiding Mgte thinks that only two-thirds of the rate can be allowed. The interpretation put by the Mgte. ~~seems~~ on the rule contained in para 7(3) of the G.O. is correct.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-C-12.

Serial No.

Flag Z

Para 7 (2) of flag W

5 The City Magte. has raised another doubt on which orders are necessary. On many of the days both the cases that had been posted are seen to have been adjd.. In the G.O. it is laid down that when a case is adjd without trial, the fee for the day should be limited to Rs 5/-. Whether the limitation of Rs 5/- is for each case or for each day of adjt when more than one case had been posted and all of them were adjd without trial is a matter for orders.

6 The claim in these three cases is for Rs 723/-, 314, & 265.

7 Subd for orders.

Rg.-129
28/4/1913 29/4/13 8 Please put up a statement as personally directed

SDN 38/4

9) The subjoined Statement shows the amount claimed by the Public Prosecutor and that admissible under the G.O.*

No of Case	Amount claimed	Amount admissible
802 of 1911-12	Rs 723/-	Rs 638-10-3
803 of do	265/-	222-5-4
102 of 1912-13	314+16 or 330/-	298-11-8
Revn Pet Nos 92 to 96 of 1912-13 before the Chief Court	160/-	106-10-8
Total	Rs 1478/-	Rs 1266-5-11

For details please see the enclosure to each of the bills

Flag W*

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

-65 File No. 286 of 1912-13

Serial No.

10) The amount admissible has been calculated as hereunder:

1) Where more than one case was conducted on the same day, 2/3 of Rs 16/- has been allowed for each case under para 7(3) of the G.O.

Flag W

2) Where one case was conducted & the other or others posted for the same day were adjourned, Rs 16/- have been allowed for the case conducted + Rs 5/- for each of the cases adjd.

3) When all the cases posted for the same day were adjd., Rs 5/- have been allowed for each of the cases adjd.

4) No fee has been allowed for the day or days on which the public Pros. was noted by the Magistrate as absent save for the day or days on which judgment was pronounced.

vide Enc 3 to 81 / flag R

11) The public Prosecutor requests that the bills need not be returned to him in case the amounts claimed therein are found to have been incorrectly calculated but that they may be passed for such amt

1

Papers under Disposal

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

65 File No. 286 of 1912-13

Serial No.

as he may be entitled to.

Subo for orders.

Kg.-129 SW 5/5/13 (12)

2/5-5-1913 Owing to the protracted nature of the trial + owing to the fact that the magte did only a little work on the days the cases were posted, the P. P's bills have been somewhat swelled. It would perhaps have been economical to have settled a fixed sum for the cases altogether.

(13) However as the amounts now allowed as per statement in the note above, are admissible under the rules, the bills may be sanctioned for Rs. 1266-5-11.

Dr Fell) SBK 13/5

(14) What were the amounts said to have been misappropriated by the Nazir?

Drew 14/5 SBK

(15) The information req^d is not available in the file. Sub^d for orders with a draft to the Reg^r, Chief Court.

Kg.-129 SW 17/5/1913

(16) Issue SBK 19/5

Remaining trained Drew 21/6

By M/S

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C/ File No. 286 of 1912-13

Serial No.	
2	To The Registrar, Chief Court No J 3583 Dy 21-5-13 Enquire what the amount are that are said to have been misappropriated by the late central Nazir
3	From the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore No Dy 1st July 1913 In Criminal case 98[illegible], intimates that the revision petition filed before the Dist. Magte was dismissed and submits at the for Rs 32/- for ~~the~> Sanction of Govt. 17 The information called for in Pl 2 has not yet been furnished. 18 The present claim for Rs 32/- probably covers attendance for two days. The bill has been countersigned by the Dist. Magistrate. 19 Subo for orders with a draft sanctg. Pl.-129 17.7.13 SW 18/7 20 I think all the bills in connection with this case may be taken together. 21 Please issue reminder for the information called for in Pl 2. Pl 32 Subo. An official reminder was issued on 22/7 SW P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

12

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/ File No. 286 of 1912-13

Serial No.

the 26th July 1913. As the bills of the public prosecutor presented so far back as April 13 await disposal pending receipt of the information called for from the Chief Court, a d.o. received to the Registrar, Chief Court, is put up.

Kg.-129 SW
1/8/13

23 Issue
SOL 1/8

24 Before issue of the d.o. the tel: reply was rec'd

4 From The Registrar, Chief Court
No 581/35 dt 1/2-8-13

Forwards with refee to 12 a copy of a letter from the Dist. Judge, Bangalore Dist., and states that the judges are of opinion that the report of the Spl Auditor should be awaited for a correct estimation of the amount misappropriated.

25) From the report of the Dist. Judge it will be seen that the amount misappropriated can be taken roughly at Rs 4000/- for the present purpose consisting of Rs 3900/- under pay of the estt & Rs 46/- out of unspent balance.

Sub[d] with refee to para 14

Kg.-129 SW
4-8-13 5/8/13

26) Orders are now required on the bills submitted by

Flag N
Encl 6 286-12-4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.

the Pub. Prosr. in connection with the Case.

Secy 5/8

Secy Legy)

27) As shown in paras 9 to 11, the Public Prosecutor's claim to the fee of Rs 1266-5-11 has probably to be admitted, though the fee is out of all proportion to the amount said to have been embezzled. The necessity for giving suitable instructions about fixing definite remuneration beforehand in cases of a protracted nature may, if deemed fit, be considered.

Draft submitted 6/8/13

1st Counsellor)

28) The various Revn Cases before D. M. & Sh. Ct — were they prosecuted under due authority? I.E. Who sanctioned these proceedings being undertaken?

6/8/13

Draft 8/8.

29) Subo circle a draft to the Public Prosecutor making the above enquiry.

Ug.-ug
11/8/13

30) Issue after inserting the

Brief + Spr.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 286 of 1912

Serial No.	
	Numbers of the revn petitions
	SDR 11/8
31)	Numbers inserted in the margin of the draft.
	KG. JM 11-8-13 The draft may be issued
5	TO The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore
	No J 567 dy 14.8.13
	Enquiries under whose sanction the various revision proceedings were instituted.
6	From the Same officer
	No dy 18.8.13
	State in reply that the revision proceedings were instituted on the strength of the constructions placed by the Dist. Judge & the Sub Judge of Bangalore on the G.O. authorizing him to conduct the prosecution and under the instructions of Mr B. Rajappa, Sub-Judge.
32)	The two letters from the Sub Judge of Bangalore authorising the Public Prosecutor to institute revision proc[eedings] before the Chief Court & the Dist. Judge have also been forwarded by the Public Prosecutor.
33)	Subd with refce to para 28.
	KG.-129 25-8-13 JM
	1st Cr) SDR 26/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GIP—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Ct File No. 286 of 1912-13

Serial No.

34) For days in which one or more cases were actually conducted Rs 16/- a day or 2/3 of Rs 16 for each case may be allowed.

35) For ~~all cases~~ days in which the fee on the above scale is allowed Rs 5/- may be added for case or cases which were adjourned without hearing.

36) When the advocate appeared only to hear orders of adjournment or striking off the total fee allowed should be limited to Rs 16/-

37) For chief Court bills what is passed by the judge may be allowed.

38) Calculate accordingly & please let me know the amount

27/8/13

Rec 28/8 Sent 28/8

39) Calculation, made as directed above, gives the items noted on the next page

R. O.

Ct
Rec'd 29.8.13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-6-12.

[R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cf File No. 286 of 1912-13

Serial No.	No of the case	Amount to be awarded
1.	802 of 1911-12	Rs 648-10-3
2	803 of do	222-5-4
3	102 of 1912-13	303-11-8
4	Rev" Pet" nos 92 to 96 before the Chief Court	106-10-8
5.	Rev" Pet" no 44 of 12-13 before the Dist. Magte.	32-" -"
		Rs 1313-5-11

* No of Days of attendance not stated

(40) These figures vary from those given in para 9 of these notes for the following reasons:-

In case no. 802 a sum of Rs 5/- not claimed by the Public Prosecutor and another like sum for the day on which only pet" was pronounced have now been allowed.

Flag R

Rs 10 may be disallowed

5 Rs Do

P

(41) In case no. 102 a sum of Rs 5/- has now been allowed for the day on which the Public Prosecutor only appeared to hear orders.

Subd.

Dy Sec"

SOL 18/9

Mg.-116 16.9.13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C5 File No. 286 of 1912-13

Serial No.

42 (1st Communication) With reference to para 38. Draw up & lay 29/9

43 The 15 Rs not claimed may be deducted & Rs. 1298-5-11 may be allowed.

23/10/13

Lay 24/10 Draw up & lay

44 Draft ord accdy SVR 24/10

45 Draft order sanctg the bills is subd. HG.-116 29/10/13

B 4-11-13

46 Issue SVR 29/10

7 To, The public prosecutor, Bangalore. The Comptroller to Govt. Go. J. 1316-17, Dy 3-11-13. Sanctions the six bills recd from the Public prosecutor, Bangalore, in Connection with the Central / Jail.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12

III/22/4 CA

KD 22/4

J 3016

12-13

65

286 of 1912

1

Bangalore
21st April 191

From
The Public Prosecutor
Bangalore.

To
The Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue
departments, Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to Government proceedings no: J 3148-50/cts 266-11-2 dated 27th April 1912, I have the honour to state that three complaints were filed against G. Narayanaswamy, late Central Nazar, Bangalore in the Court of the City Magistrate Bangalore, which were numbered as criminal cases 802 and 803 of 1911-12 and 102 of 1912-13. The Magistrate acquitted the accused in criminal cases nos: 802 of 1911-12 and 102 of 1912-13

and discharged him in Criminal case no: 803 of 1911-12. A revision petition was filed in the Chief Court against the order of discharge in case no 803 of 1911-12 and this was rejected on the ground that it should have been presented in the first instance before the District Magistrate. A separate revision petition is accordingly filed before the District magistrate, and the same is posted to the 25th instant for hearing. The copy of the order of the City Magistrate in this case is produced with the petition filed before the District magistrate. The copies of judgments in Criminal cases nos: 802 of 1911-12 and 102 of 1912-13 are sent to the District Judge Bangalore for his information and a Copy of the letter addressed to him is also sent for your information.. Four revision petitions had also to be incidentally filed in the Chief Court against certain orders releasing the accused on bail and refusing to frame charges under certain sections of the Indian Penal code, in cases nos: 802 of 1911-12 and 102 of 1912-13 vide Sl 3

I have submitted my bills in the above cases for the orders of Government for payment being kindly obtained with the original letter written to me in connection

with bills in cases nos: 802 and 803 of 1911-12 and 102 of 1912-13 by the City Magistrate who after checking and certifying to the correctness of the bills raises certain doubts regarding the construction of the Government proceedings fixing the remuneration of Public Prosecutors. It is submitted that if the total amount claimed in each of the bills is for any reason to be corrected, the bill need not be returned to me, but may be passed for such amount to which I may be actually entitled. I have submitted a bill for Rs 10 without countersignature, as this is not any claim for fees, but costs actually paid by me in advance, and it is requested that this sum also may be ordered to be paid to me.

I have the honour
to be, Sir,
Your most obedient
Servant,
Kanyanna
Public Prosecutor

Enclosure 286-17-1 4

Cr case no: 802 of 1911-12.

10-10-8 ~~0-0-0~~	⊗ 30. 5. 12.	Complaint filed & complt & 2 more fr amnt.	16/-
10-10-8	⊗ 20. 6. 12.	Opposed appln for bail	16/-
5-0-0 ~~10-10-8~~	× 24. 6. 12	Adjd	5/-
~~0-0-0~~ ~~first enlargement as it has not been claimed~~	× 28. 6. 12		×
16-0-0	× 2. 7. 12	2 P.W. & 2	16/-
10-10-8	⊗ 5. 7. 12	5 P.W. & 3	16/-
5-0-0	× 8. 7. 12	Adjd	5/-
10-10-8	⊗ 11. 7. 12	2 P.W. & 2	16/-
16-0-0 —	× 17. 7. 12	1 P.W. & 2	16/-
5-0-0 —	× 18. 7. 12	Adjd	5/-
10-10-8 —	⊗ 19. 7. 12	Bail appln opposed	16/-
10-10-8	⊗ 22. 7. 12	1 P.W. & 2	16/-
10-10-8	⊗ 23. 7. 12	Arguments	16/-
16-0-0 —	× 24. 7. 12	10 P.W. & 3	16/-
16-0-0 —	× 30. 7. 12	Do.	16/-
16-0-0 —	× 31. 7. 12	Do.	16/-
16-0-0 —	× 1. 8. 12	Do	16/-
16-0-0 —	× 2. 8. 12	Do	16/-
5-0-0 —	× 12. 8. 12	Adjd	5/-
16-0-0 —	× 13. 8. 12	10 P.W. & 3	16/-
16-0-0 —	× 14. 8. 12	Do —	16/-
16-0-0 —	× 15. 8. 12	Do —	16/-
16-0-0 —	× 16. 8. 12	Do —	16/-
5-0-0 —	× 29. 8. 12	Adjd	5/-
16-0-0 3 cases were taken ~~which 2 were adjd & TB as taken~~ heard.	× 9. 9. 12	Arguments	16/-
	× 10. 9. 12	Adjd	5/-
10-10-8	⊗ 13. 9. 12	2 P.W. & 3	16/-
16-0-0 —	× 17. 9. 12	Arguments	16/-
10-10-8	⊗ 18. 9. 12	Arguments	16/-
34-0-0			

5:0:0	✓	X	26. 9. 12.	Adjd	Rs 5/-
	✓	✓	30. 9. 12	Sec: charged Rs 2	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	3. 10. 12	Adjd	Rs 5/-
16:0:0	✓	X	12. 10. 12	with: Sec d	Rs 16/-
0:0 absent			16. 10. 12	Adjd	Rs 5/-
10:10:8	✓	⊗	30. 10. 12	Argument	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	31. 10. 12	Adjd	Rs 5/-
5:0:0	✓	X	1. 11. 12	Do	Rs 5/-
5:0:0	✓	X	5. 11. 12	Do	Rs 5/-
5:0:0	✓	X	6. 11. 12	Do	Rs 5/-
5:0:0	✓	X	7. 11. 12	Do	Rs 5/-
absent			11. 11. 12 12. 11. 12	absent at Kolar on account of Sessions	
5:0:0	✓	X	13. 11. 12	Adjd	Rs 5/-
16:0:0	✓	X	14. 11. 12	Argument	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	15. 11. 12	Adjd	Rs 5/-
10:10:8	✓	⊗	18. 11. 12	with: Sec d	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	19. 11. 12	Do	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	20. 11. 12	Do	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	21. 11. 12	Adjd	Rs 5/-
5:0:0	✓	X	10. 12. 12	Do	Rs 5/-
5:0:0	✓	X	9. 1. 13	Do	Rs 5/-
5:0:0	✓	X	21. 1. 13	Do	Rs 5/-
5:0:0	✓	X	13. 2. 13	Do	Rs 5/-
There was no case for to day			14. 2. 13	Do	Rs 5/-
16:0:0	✓	X	20. 2. 13	with Sec d	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	21. 2. 13	Do	Rs 16/-
16:0:0	✓	X	1. 3. 13	Do	Rs 16/-
10:10:8	✓	⊗	3. 3. 13	Do	Rs 16/-
10:10:8	✓	⊗	4. 3. 13	Argument	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	5. 3. 13	Do	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	8. 3. 13	Do	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	10. 3. 13	Do	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	X	11. 3. 13	Do	Rs 16/-
5:0:0	✓	⊗	18. 3. 13	Judt: pronounced	Rs 5/-

Criminal Case no: 102 of 1912-13.

16—0—0	✓	31 · 8 · 12	Complaint filed Compltt examined	Rs 16/-
~~5—0—0~~	~~3 Cases were taken~~	X 9 · 9 · 12	adj?	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	✓ 16 · 9 · 12	Do	Rs 5/-
16—0—0	✓	✓ 19 · 9 · 12	P.W ~~partly~~ exam?	Rs 16/-
~~16~~—0—0	✓	✓ 27 · 9 · 12	Do	Rs 16/-
5—0—0	✓	X 3 · 10 · 12	adj?	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	X 12 · 10 · 12	Do	Rs 5/-
C—0—~~absent~~	~~A~~	16 · 10 · 12	Do	Rs 5/-
10—10—8	✓	(X) 30 · 10 · 12	Witnes exam?	Rs 16/-
16—0—0	✓	X 31 · 10 · 12	Do	Rs 16/-
16—0—0	✓	X 1 · 11 · 12	Do	Rs 16/-
16—0—0	✓	X 5 · 11 · 12	Do	Rs 16/-
16—0—0	✓	X 6 · 11 · 12	Do	Rs 16/-
16—0—0	✓	X 7 · 11 · 12	Do	Rs 16/-
		X 11 · 11 · 12 } 12 · 11 · 12 }	absent at Kolar for Sessions.	
16—0—0	✓	X 13 · 11 · 12	Wit. exam?	Rs 16/-
10—10—8	✓	(X) 18 · 11 · 12	accd charged	Rs 16/-
5—0—0	✓	X 19 · 11 · 12	adj?	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	X 20 · 11 · 12	Do	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	X 21 · 11 · 12	Do	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	X 10 · 12 · 12	Do	Rs 5/-
		X 9 · 1 · 13	Do	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	X 20 · 2 · 13	Do	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	X 21 · 2 · 13	Do	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	X 1 · 3 · 13	Do	Rs 5/-
10—10—8	✓	(X) 3 · 3 · 13	Witnes exam?	Rs 16/-
10—10—8	✓	(X) 4 · 3 · 13	Do	Rs 16/-
5—0—0	✓	X 5 · 3 · 13	adj?	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	X 8 · 3 · 13	Do	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	X 10 · 3 · 13	Do	Rs 5/-
5—0—0	✓	X 11 · 3 · 13	Do	Rs 5/-

16-11-8 B7

16-0-0

16-0-11

0-0-0 5/-

298-11-8

12.3.13 Arguments Rs 16/-

13.3.13 Do Rs 16/-

18.3.13 Judgment pron^d Rs 5/-

Cal: case no: 803 of 11-12 8

Date of hearing.				work done	fees claimed
✓30.5-12	⊗	10-10-8		filed complaint & complainant's S.	Rs 16-.-.
✓20.6.12	⊗	10-10-8		opposed bail application	Rs 16-.-.
✓24.6-12	✗	5-0-0		adjourned	Rs 5-.-.
✓28.6.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
.2.7.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	6-.-.
✓5.7-12	⊗	10-10-8		Arg: with: C.D	16-.-.
A01-✓8.7.12	✗	16-0-0		Heard argts	16-.-.
✓9.7.12	✓	16-0-0		Arg: C.D	16-.-.
11.7.12	⊗	10-10-8		P.W. C.D	16-.-.
✓17.7.12	✗	5-0-0		Adj'd	5-.-.
✓18.7.12	✗	5-0-0		Adj'd	5-.-.
✓19.7.12	⊗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
✓22.7.12	⊗	10-10-8		Arg: C.D	16-.-.
✓23.7-12	⊗	10-10-8		Arg & C.D	16-.-.
✓24.7.12	✗	5-0-0		Adj'd	5-.-.
✓30.7.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
✓31.7.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
.1.8.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
.2.8.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
✓12.8.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
.13.8.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
.14.8.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
.15-8.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
.16.8.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
.29.8.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
3 cases 9.12 recitation	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
✓10.9.12	✗	5-0-0		Do	5-.-.
✓13.9.12	⊗	10-10-8		Arg: C.D	16-.-.

201-IA-8

9

B77 207-10-8

X 17. 9. 12 ✓X	Adj^d	5—.—.	5—0—0
18. 9. 12 ✓	Arguments.	16—.—.	10-10-8
X 26. 9. 12 ✓	Adj^d	5—.—.	5—0—0
			222-5-4

Total Rs 265—.—/

Vairavanna

Public Prosecutor

Encl to Cs 286-12 (10)

Bangalore
10th April 1913

From
The Public Prosecutor
Bangalore

To
The District Judge
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honour to send herewith copies of judgments in criminal Cases nos: 802 of 1911-12 and 102 of 1912-13 on the file of the City Magistrate Bangalore, instituted against G. Narayana Sastry, late Central Bazar, Bangalore in pursuance of Government proceedings passed in this behalf for your information and adopting such further action as you may deem necessary and advisable as the judgments are in my

opinion not sound, and the conclusions drawn by the magistrate are not supported by the facts of the case. As the facts of the case are not sufficiently clear from the judgments, I shall send a brief memo indicating the salient points in favour of the prosecution, if in view of adopting further proceedings, you require me to do so. I may also add for your information that a revision petition is pending before the District Magistrate against the order of the City Magistrate, Bangalore in Criminal case no: 808/1911-12 which was also instituted against Arayanasastry.

I have the honour
to be, Sir,
your most obedient
servant
(Sd) S. Rangama
Public Prosecutor

'Copy'
Rangama
Public Prosecutor

Enquiring what is the amounts, said to have been misappropriated by the late Central nazir.

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 286 of 1912-1

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 35-83 Conf

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19 .

To

The Registrar, Chief Court

Date of issue 21-5-13

Signed by Sri SP Rayagopalachari

Sir,

Correspondence ending with

Adverting to Govt Prop re

J 3148-50/65 266-11-2 dy the 27th

april 1913, directing the Public

Prosecutor to file a complaint

against G. Narayana Sastri, late

Central Nazir, Bangalore Divn,

I am directed to request

you to furnish this office with a

Statement of the amounts

said to have been misappropriate

P.T. over.

SUBJECT.

7

by the late Central Nazi

have to

SPK

in opts: see heart

SW
17/5/13

List of enclosures,

Copied by KT 20/5/13

Examined by SW

GPB—20,000—25-9-10

148

DIARY No. 4119

10/3-1914
SECTION C
FILE No. 286 of 1912-13
SERIAL No. 3

Bangalore
18th July 1913.

From
The Public Prosecutor
Bangalore

To
The Secretary to Govern-
ment of Mysore
General and Revenue
Departments
Bangalore.

Sir,

I Paper under disposal

Referring to my letter dated 21st April 1913 in-forming you of the pendency of a Revision Petition be-fore the District Magistrate Bangalore against G. Nara-yana Shastry, Lake Inspector Vazir, Bangalore, I have the honour to state

7

that the petition is dismissed by the District Magistrate. A copy of the order of the District Magistrate is sent to the ~~District~~ Judge, Bangalore, for his information.

I have submitted a bill for Rs 32/- which is counter-signed by the District Magistrate and I request you to be so good as to obtain early order for the payment of the amount together with what I have claimed in my bills sent to you with my letter dated 21st April 1913.

I have the honour
to be, Sir,
your most obedient
Servant
Narayana
Public Prosecutor

No. 581 Enc: 1 22 files
35

1670

BANGALORE,

1st August 1913.

22

From

The REGISTRAR of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

Cts 286-12-4

To

The SECRETARY to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. J3583/Cts 286-12-2 dated 21st May 1913, calling for a statement of the amounts said to have been misappropriated by the late Central Nazir G. Narayana Sastry, I am directed to forward a copy of letter No.326/40 dated 23rd July 1913 received from the District Judge, Bangalore Division, together with the reports and statements of the Subordinate Judge and Additional (now 2nd) Munsiff of Bangalore referred to therein, and to state that the Judges of the Chief Court are of opinion that it would not be safe to act upon these reports and statements and that it would be more satisfactory if the matter were allowed to lie over till the Special Auditor, who is now in Mysore, returns and submits his report on the audit of the accounts of the late Central ~~Kax~~ Nazarat, Bangalore.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

REGISTRAR.

E.S.

87

17-4

Letter No. 326/40 dated the 23rd July 1913, from the District Judge, Bangalore Division, to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

Encl to Ltr 236-17

Referring to your letter No. 4092/308 dated 22nd May 1913 I have the honor to state as follows:-

No. 120 dated 20th January 1912 from the Additional Munsiff Bangalore (Enclosures 3).

No. 418 dated 6th December 1911 from the Subordinate Judge Bangalore.

From the marginally noted reports and statements appended thereto, from the Subordinate Judge and Additional (now 2nd) Munsiff, Bangalore, it will be seen that the amount misappropriated by the Central Nazir comes to nearly (1) Rs. 3900/- out ofthe pay of the establishment and (2) Rs. 46/- out of unspent batta amount.

The latter amount was arrived at by checking 6 out of 177 C. Rosters of the late Central Nazarath establishment and if this is taken as the average rate of misappropriation on all 177 Rosters, the total misappropriation would be about 1500 rupees, though from the circumstance that he built 2 houses worth 15,000 rupees while drawing Rs. 40/- and Rs. 50/- as pay, it is exceedingly likely he has misappropriated a great deal more. A special auditor was deputed to ascertain the exact sum embezzled, but he has since been deputed to Mysore in connection with another misappropriation which has ended in a conviction and the work has yet to begin.

The reports and statements referred to above are herein enclosed.

Sd. T. Paramasiva Iyer.
District Judge.

"Copy"

REGISTRAR.

MM

L. Ch 7. 827 — 23.1.1912 — 2/5

Case 3/8

190

From G. Yathyaji Rao Esquire B.A., B.L.,
Additional Munsiff
Bangalore

To T. Paramasiva Iyer Esquire B.A.,
District Judge
Bangalore Division

Dated 20th January 1912.

Despatched

Received

General No. 120
No.

Enclosure No. 3.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 941/129 dated 9-12-1911 calling upon me to submit a report with a tabular Statement showing the Arbitration amounts which I consider to have been mis-appropriated by G. Narasinga Sastry, and in continuation of this office no. 98 dated 18-12-1911, I have the honor to state that I was able to

DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT
22 JAN. 1912
BANGALORE

by Munshi
check & file with the previous records.

D. J.

1. Ramadu
2. Narasimha Iyengar
3. M. Ramaiah
4. Venkatanarayana
5. Vyasa Char.
6. T. Shamanna.

the entries of only 6 out of 177 C. Rosters which were in use prior to July 1905, i.e., prior to the introduction of the Permanent Advance System. The C Rosters I checked, are those relating to the Process officials mentioned in the Margin. As regards these 6 C. Rosters I herewith submit a tabular statement showing such amounts as were received by the Central Nazir from different Courts but were neither expended nor returned to the respective Courts.

2. It is because the Central Nazir made Correct entries in his Cash Book (except the Totals) and in the B. Registers as also originally in the several C Rosters, it is possible to detect items of misappropriation. He has either (i) not given the amounts to the Process Servers as shown in the last Column of the Tabular statement; or, (ii) has misappropriated the amounts returned by the Process Servers as unexpended. In the latter Case the plan adopted by him was as follow

He was careful to send back to Courts big unexpended amounts which he knew would be claimed back by the Parties. Most of these items were returned by him to Courts on the very day on which such amounts were claimed by the Parties. With regard to other amounts he altered the words 'not served' and 'not executed as per Plaintiff's Shara' originally written in the last Column of the C. Rosters into 'served' (as shown in the Tabular statement); altered the figure which denoted the unexpended amount into a cipher and also altered the date of the receipt of unspent batta. In some Cases he altered the date such as to Correspond with the date of the return of the Process by the Process

In other cases he gave misleading or imaginary dates or such dates when he pocketted round sums of public money as shown in the next para.

3. My officials whom I had deputed to this work accidentally found out that in striking daily balances in this Cash Register the Central Vazir has periodically reduced or lessened the actual balance by round sums of money such as Rs 10 or Rs 20. Such instances so far in one cash Register we. we have detected are given here: -

6.11.20.2.00 the total receipts are reduced by Rs 10/-
6.11.6.7.00 the total receipts are reduced by Rs 20/-
6.11.3.11.00 the balance is reduced by Rs 10/-
6.11.3.11.00 the balance is reduced by Rs 10/-

I am of opinion that it is these round sums which the Central Vazir periodically misappropriated

and to suit these lessenings of balances he made the alterations in the C Rosters as mentioned in para 2.

4. It is found that for all unexpended amounts returned to Courts by the Central Nazir he has invariably taken the initials of the Sheristadar or the Head Munshi or the Court Nazirs in the last column of his B. Registers. The items mentioned in the accompanying Tabular Statement are not entered in the specified Column of B. Register (which is the Column in which all unexpended amounts returned to Courts are entered and of Course there is no acknowledgement by the Court Nazirs etc. This alone is sufficient to prove mis-appropriations. But, we have also locked

into the Ledgers of the Several Courts in so far as they were available and assured ourselves that the Central Nazir did not return such amounts to Courts. In order to satisfy ourselves that the alterations made in the Columns of the C. Rosters were deliberate and fraudulent we have looked into the Judge's Notes of the Several records as far as possible. The result of our Search of such records and the Ledgers are noted in Columns 11 and 12 respectively of the accompanying Tabular Statement.

5. After the selection of the 6 C. Rosters at random and after preparing the draft Tabular Statement I Casually inspected the C Roster of V. Ramaswami Naidu Amin. I find that a great majority of the entries made therein are unscrupulously altered and that

there is not a page which does not contain a number of alterations. As already noted there are 171 more C Rosters of the period prior to the Introduction of the Permanent Advance System and 170 C Rosters subsequent to that period. The latter C Rosters are to be checked with special reference to amounts received from Courts outside the Province. Every item has to be checked along with 17 Cash Registers and about 57 B. Registers. It took a month for us to prepare figures and other particulars for the accompanying Tabular Statement with 3 official Constantly working at it. It is not possible to spare any official for the purpose any longer without detriment to their allotted work. It is requested

overhaul all the registers mentioned above and find out the total amount misappropriated by the Central Nazir a special establishment of at least 3 officials well versed in the execution, Nazarath and Cash Departments should be entertained for a period of at least 6 months.

6. The papers sent with your docket are herewith returned. The C Rosters sent with your docket have already been returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servants.

G S Alleyne

Additional Munsiff

A. 20 - 1 - 1912.

L. Ch. 7. 631/D6.12.1911

4/31 1911-12 Sealed Cover

From B. Nagappa Esquire
Barrister at Law
Subordinate Judge

To Bangalore
T. Parama Siva Iyer
Esquire B.A.,

Dated
Despatched } District Judge
Received 6-12-1911 Bangalore

General No. 460.

No.

Enclosure No. One sealed cover.

DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT
6 DEC. 1911
BANGALORE

Mr. Ravi
6-12-11
2RD

Encl. for C.S. 286-12-4

With reference to your docket No. 830. 653 dated 27th November 1911 asking me to submit a report with a tabular statement as to the total amount which I consider has been misappropriated by C. Narayana Iyengar, late Central Jail

GP—1,50,000—20-7-11

by forging the signatures of existing or fictitious persons. I have the honour to state that the amount which I consider has been misappropriated by G. Narayana Sastry is Rupees 3840. 3. 10 as shown in the tabular statement hereto appended.

This figure is only approximate, and is arrived at first by carefully comparing the signatures of the existing and fictitious persons in the Barawards for each month and by noting down the amount drawn against each such signature; secondly by comparing the available detailed Pay bills with the Barawards and finding out the amounts drawn in the names of absentees as if they were on duty and paid to imaginary persons; and thirdly by noting

drawn the amounts paid to wrong parties.

The following are clear cases of falsification of accounts which were discovered by Comparison of Detailed Bills sent to the Comptroller and the Office copy kept (Barcaward) by the late Central Varjis.

(1) In Exhibit Z 19 (Detailed Bill of permanent Establishment sent for the month of April 1909) (No. 6) one V. Varadappa 2d. grade process server is shown to be not on leave and his pay Rs 7/- and a grain allowance of 1 Re were drawn for that month.

There is a certificate written by the late Central Varji and initialled by him and which is to be found at

the foot of the pay bill, to the effect that "the men (including N. Narayanappa) for whom allowances are claimed in this bill have not been on leave or under suspension and that allowances are claimed for whole time servants only" "No absentees during the month" This certificate is shown to be false by the fact of late Central Navy writing in the Barawand for that month against the name of N. Narayanappa the name of one Keshavachar as acting for him and paying the amount of Rs 7/- and also the grain Compensation of a seer to Keshavachar.

The signature of H. Keshava Char is no doubt forged There is apparent subsequent

insertion of the name of 42
Keshavachar in an ink
different from the ink
used in writing the names
of N. Narana Rpa and Guru
Raya Char.

All these can be proved
by the writing of G. Narayan
Sastry himself. This is
done with a view to
defraud the Government
his employer.

2. Exactly similar practice
is to be found in the month
of December 1908 (Vide Z 15
Pay bill and the Barawad)

3. Similar practice is to be
found by the comparison
of Z_{23} (Pay bill for July 09)
and Z_{24} with the Barawad
of that month in the case
one (No 29) N. Krishna Rpa.

N. Krishna Rpa is shown
to be on leave for 15 da

in that month and one Venk-
Rao is shown to be acting
for him.

Whereas in Z 22 (a separate
pay bill pre hared for the month
of July 1908 in respect of
grain allowance drawn)
there is a certificate in the
hand writing of G. Narayana
sastry to the effect that N
Krishna Iya was not on leave.
He has also written in Z 23
that there were no absentees
during that month.

The signatures of both Krishna Iya
Venkata Rao are forgeries.

4. In Z (pay bill for January
1902) No 12 Lakshmi Narasimha
2nd grade process servers is
shown to be on duty.
Whereas in the Baravard for
that month he is shown to be
on leave and one Narayana
Rao is shown as acting

Narayana Rao's signature
a clear forgery. (Exhibit 7s.)

5. In Z_2 (Pay bill for November 1904) N. Narana Appa is shown to be on duty. In the Barawad he is shown to be on leave and Kalinia is shown as acting. It is a fictitious name. In the same bill Sreekantia 2nd grade process server is shown to be on duty, whereas he is shown in the Barawad to be on leave, and one Naranappa is shown as acting for him. Naranappa is a fictitious name. The list attached to the Pay bill shows that both N. Narana Appa and Sreekantia were on duty in November 1904.

6. In Z_3 (Pay bill for February 1905) Kenchappa First Grade

Process Server and Ramanuja Iyengar 2d grade Process Server were shown to be on duty. In the Barawad they are shown as on leave. One Pillia is shown as acting. He is a fictitious person. In the list of absentees their names do not find a place.

7. In Z 14 (Pay bill for August 1908) it is shown that Ho: 27 Narana Char was on leave and Byra was acting for him. Whereas we find that one Narayana Rao was acting for Narana char.

It is significant to note that the name of Byra was originally written in the Barawad and also his alleged signature. Subsequently this is a tampering. The

The name of Narayana Rao and his signature are forged.

8. In Z/12 (Pay bill for September 1908) No. 2 Varana Achar is shown as being on other duty. R. Hanmanta is shown as acting. Whereas in the Barcward it is shown that one V. Narayana Rao ~~is shown~~ was acting.

It is significant to note that he has inserted the name and signature of one Byra and that he has subsequently altered it by inserting the name and signature of V. Narayana Rao. By [illegible] of the hedge of the

Baravard it will be seen that in the place where the alleged signature of Narayana rao is to be found in the book there is an erasure.

9. In Z 20 (Bill for October 1909) N. Narasimha Iyer is shown to be on duty throughout the month. Whereas in the Baravard he is shown to be on leave from 1st to 15th and one Sreekantia is shown to be acting. Signatures are forged.

10. In R 3 (Pay bill for December 1910) Chendra rao first grade process server is shown to be on duty, whereas in the Baravard he is shown to be on leave and one Nanjundiah is shown as acting for him.

In the same pay bill Exp 9
Nanjundia 2d grade process
server is shown to be on
duty, whereas in the
Barcavard he is shown
to be on other duty, and
Rama is shown to be acting
Rama's signature is forged
as deposed to by Rama
(vide Exhibit S 10.)

11. In R4 (pay bill for
January 1911) No. 9 Nanjundra
is shown to be on leave
and one Sanjeevia is
acting whereas in the
Barcavard it is shown
~~that~~ Rama is acting.
These show clearly that
Ramas statement is
true viz that he was on
duty in January 1911

and that his alleged
signature is not his
(Vide Exhibit E)

12. In R4. (Pay bill
for January 911) No. 39 D
Hanumantha Rao is shown
to be on duty. Whereas
in the Barward he is
shown to be on leave
and one Sreenivasa Rao
is shown to be acting
for is a fictitious person.

I have the honour, to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]

Year & month.	Name of incumbent.	Amount			Remarks.
		Rs	as	ps	
1898 July.	Vasudeva Row	7	0	0	
	Narashimaiah	7	0	0	
00 August	Vasudeva Row	7	0	0	
	Narashimaiah	7	0	0	
	Narashimalachi of Venkatadamaiah	7	0	0	
00 Septr	Vasudeva Row	7	0	0	
	Narashimaiah	7	0	0	
	Venkata Row	3	8	0	
00 Octr	Vasudeva Row	7	0	0	
	Narashimaiah	7	0	0	
	Sampangi Ramaiah	7	0	0	
	Lukshamiramanappa of Narashimalachi	7	0	0	
	M. Venkata Row	7	0	0	
	M. Narasingaraw of Venkataraya	7	0	0	
Novr	Vasudeva Row	7	0	0	
	Narashimaiah	7	0	0	
	M. Subba Row	8	0	0	
	Narayani	0	12	5	
	Hafi Khan	1	13	9	
	Lukshamiramanappa of Narashimalachi	7	0	0	
	Venkata Row	7	0		

1898 Decem.	Vasudeva Rau	7	0	0
	Narasimaiah	7	0	0
	Shama Rau	7	0	0
	Lukshami Ranganatha of Narasimha Sastri	7	0	0
	Garudachar of Hanumanthu	7	0	0
	Venkata Rau	7	0	0
1899 Janu.	Narasimaiah	7	0	0
	Shama Rau	7	0	0
	Venkata Rau	7	0	0
	Lukshami Ranganatha of Narasimha Sastri	7	0	0
Feb.	Vasudeva Rau	7	0	0
	Narasimaiah	7	0	0
	Subbanna	7	0	0
	Venkata Rau	7	0	0
March.	Narasimaiah	7	0	0
	Venkata Rau	7	0	0
April	Vasudeva Rau	7	0	0
	Narasimaiah	7	0	0
	N. Narayanappa	7	0	0
	Narasimha Sastri	7	0	0
	V. S. Narasimaiah	7	0	0
	M. Venkata Rau	7	0	0

4/9
5/2

1899 May.	Vasudevakm	7	0	0
	M. Narashmiah	7	0	0
	Narayndia	7	0	0
	M. Narayappa	7	0	0
	Venkantia	7	0	0
	V. Narashmiah	7	0	0
	M. Venkatakm	7	0	0
June	Vasudevakm	7	0	0
	Narashmiah	7	0	0
	Venkatakunjakm	14	0	0
	Narashmatachi	7	0	0
	Venkatakm	3	8	0
	Krishnappa	3	8	0
July.	Vasudevakm	7	0	0
	Narashmaiah	7	0	0
	Lukshamiharayappa of Narashmatachi	7	0	0
August	Vasudevakm	7	0	0
	Narashmaia	7	0	0
	Lukshamiharayappa of Narashmatachi	7	0	0
Sept.	Vasudevakm	7	0	0
	M. Narashmaiah	7	0	0
	Ramaswami Naidu	7	0	0
	Lukshamiharayappa of Narashmatachi	7	0	0
Octo	Vasudevakm	7	0	0
	Narashmaiah	7	0	0
	Ramaiah	7	0	0

899 Octr.	N. Narayappa	7	0	0
	Narashmaiah & Nanjunda	7	0	0
	Narayaniswamia Ramaswami	6	8	6
Nov	Vasudevakar	7	0	0
	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
Dec	Vasudevakar	7	0	0
	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	N. Narayappa	7	0	0
	Lukshamiharanappa & Narashmalachi	7	0	0
	Cholmaiah	7	0	0
900 Jany	Narashmaiah	7	0	0
	Vasudevakar	7	0	0
	Venkobakar	7	0	0
	Venkatanayappa	6	12	0
Feb.	Vasudevakar	7	0	0
	Narashmaiah	7	0	0
	Lukashamiharanappa & Narashmalachi	7	0	0
	Venkatanayappa	7	0	0
March	Vasudevakar	7	0	0
	Narashmaiah	7	0	0
	Venkobakar	7	0	0
	Lukshamiharanappa & Narashmalachi	7	0	0
	Venkatanayappa	7	0	0
	Banappa	5	0	0

1900 April	Vasudeva Rau	7	0	0
	Narashimiah	7	0	0
	Venkatesaia	14	0	0
	Venkatarayappa	7	0	0
May.	Vasudeva Rau	7	0	0
	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Ramanaika	7	0	0
	Sreekantia	7	0	0
	Govaiah	7	0	0
	Krishnappa	7	0	0
	Nanjundiah	7	0	0
June.	Vasudeva Rau	7	0	0
	Narashmaiah	7	0	0
	Ramaswami	7	0	0
	Heucha	7	0	0
July.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Ramanaik	7	0	0
	N. Nanappa	7	0	0
August.	M. Narashmiah	7	0	0
Septr.	Do	7	0	0
	Lakshamiramappa	7	0	0
Octr.	Narashmiah	7	0	0
Novr.	Do	7	0	0
Decr.	Do	7	0	0
	H Srinivasaiah	7	0	0

4/12

1904				
Jany.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Lukshamiramanappa	7	0	0
Feb.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Lukshamiramanappa	7	0	0
March.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Lukshamiramanappa	7	0	0
	Shama Row	7	0	0
	Venkatanayappa	7	0	0
April	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Shama Row	7	0	0
May.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Nanjundiah	14	0	0
	Shama Row	7	0	0
	Oo-dala	7	0	0
June	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Oddala	7	0	0
July.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Narayanappa	7	0	0
	Hodda Ramaswami	7	0	0
August.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Narayanappa	6	8	9
	Hodda Ramaswami	7	0	0
Septr.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0
	Narayanappa	5	2	2
	Hodda Ramaswamiah	7	0	0

1901 Octr.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0	
	Shamanna	7	0	0	
	Narayana Row	7	0	0	
	Lukshamiramanappa	7	0	0	
Novr.	Narayanappa	7	0	0	
	Hodavada Ramaswamiah	7	0	0	
Decr.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0	
	Narayanappa	3	6	2	
	N. Nanappa	7	0	0	
	Hodavada Ramaswami	7	0	0	
	Narayana Row	3	2	7	
1902 Janr.	Narayana Row	4	15	6	
	Hodavada Ramaswami	7	0	0	x. Shows as if Ramaswami was on duty this pay drawn whereas the office Barat and Shows him to have been suspended from the 7th idem & he was given only 4/8.
	Lukshamiramayanappa of Narayan Row	2	0	6.	
	Venkatanayappa	7	0	0	
Febr.	Hodavada Ramaswami	4	0	0	
	Narayana Row	3	0	0	
	Venkatanayappa	7	0	0	
March.	Narayana Row	7	0	0	
	Viswaswamiah	3	13	9	
April	Narashimaiah	7	0	0	
	Narayana Row	3	4	3	
	Viswaswamiah	7	0	0	
May.	do	7	0	0	
June.	Narashimaiah	7	0	0	
	Narayana Row	7	0	0	
	Viswaswamiah	7	0	0	

1902 July.	Narayana Row	7	0	0.	
	Viswesvaraiah	7	0	0	
August.	Narada	7	0	0	
	Viswesvaraiah	7	0	0	
Septr.	Do	7	0	0	
	Venkatanayappa	7	0	0	
	Narashimaiah	7	0	0	
Octr.	Viswesvaraiah	7	0	0	
Novr.	Do	7	0	0	
	Hoduda Ramaswami	7	0	0	
Decr.	Do	7	0	0	
	Viswesvaraiah	7	0	0	
	Narainappa	7	0	0	
1903 Janry.	Narada	6	0	0	
	Nanjundiah	7	0	0	
	Mark of Venkata	2	15	0	
Feb.	Narada	7	0	0	
	Mark of Venkata	7	0	0	
March.	Narada	7	0	0	
	Mark of Venkata	5	8	0	
April	* Annaioppa	10	0	0	* Paid to Narasingappa Row & Rachappa
	* Narasingappa Row	3	15	6	* Paid to Narashimaiah
	Venkata	7	0	0	

1903 May.	Narsimdiah	7	0	0
	Venkata	7	0	0
	Narada	6	0	0
	Venkatachalacharyar	7	0	0
June.	Hanumantha Row of Narada	2	11	8.
	Gurudayachar	7	0	0
	Venkatanayappa of Narada	1	10	2
	M. Narasappa	7	0	0
	T. Thamanna of Narada	1	10	2
	Muni Swami	7	0	0
	Venkata	7	0	0
	Thamu Row	7	0	0
July.	Narayani	14	0	0
	Thamu Row	7	0	0
	Venkata	7	0	0
	Narada	7	0	0
August.	Lukshamiramanappa	7	0	0
	Narayani	4	8	3.
	Narada	6	0	0
	Venkata	7	0	0
	Narayanappa	2	4	11
Septr.	Thamu Row of Narashmi Sastri	6	4	10
	Lukshamiramanappa	7	0	0
	Venkata	7	0	0
	Narada	7	0	0

1903 Octr.	Lukshama	3	9	10
	Venkata	7	0	0
	Shama Rao	8	0	0
Novr.	Lukshama	7	0	0
	Venkata	7	0	0
Decr.	Venkata	7	0	0
	Lukshama	7	0	0
1904 Janry.	Varadaraja of Lukshama	3	13	5
Feb.	do	2	10	6
	Shama Rao	5	8	3.
March	Rachappa	4	10	4
	Shama Rao	3	5	8
	Subbaiah	7	0	0
April	Lukshama	7	0	0
May.	do	7	0	0
August.	Ramanaika	0	15 12	5
	Narasaraja	6	5	2
	Kodanda Ramaswami	7	0	0
	Mr Gouis	7	0	0
Septr.	Vasudeva Rao	5	0	0
	Narashimiah	7	3	2
	Mr Gouis	7	0	0
Octr.	Venkatappa	3	13	5
	Ankaia	7	0	0
	Narasaraja	1	9	3

1904 Nov.	Kapanaiah	7	0	0
	Narasappa Seekantiah	7	0	0
	N. Narasappa of Kapanaiah	7	0	0
	Md. Gouse	7	0	0
Dece.	Ramaroodra Chakamaiah	8	0	0
1905 Jany.	Narsinga Row	7	0	0
	Narasimmana	2	5	2
	Pillain	4	11	10
	P. Shamanna	8	0	0
	Narasappa	6	14	0
Feb.	Venkappa of Pillain	4	0	0
	Narasappa	7	0	0
	Ramanujiengar of Pillain	3	8	0
March	Md. Gouse	7	0	0
April	Gowraiah of Lokanatham	3	8	0
	Veerasara Row	7	0	0
	Md. Gouse	7	0	0
	Narashmasetti	7	0	0
	Narasappa	7	0	0
May.	Gowraiah of Lokanatham	7	0	0
	Pilliga	4	9	10
	Venkata	2	14	0
June	Cheera Row of Lokanatham	7	0	0
	Rungaiah	7	0	0
	M. Narasappa	7	0	0
	M. Narashmaiah	8	0	0
	Venkatalwami of Bypa	4	10	8

X Drawn in Kishamo of Seekantia who was on leave but paid to Narasappa which is not shewn in detail payroll.

1905 July.	Kodanda Ramaswami of Bypa	7	0	0
Sept.	Srikantia of Lokanatham	7	0	0
	Kodanda Ramaswami of Bypa	7	0	0
Sept.	Srikantia of Lokanatham	7	0	0
	Kodanda Ramaswami of Bypa	7	0	0
Oct.	K. Ranganna of Bypa	7	0	0
	Seenappa of Narasappa	7	0	0
	Chowdakamaiah of Gangadhamma	7	0	0
Nov.	Gangadhamaiah	7	0	0
	K. Ranganna of Lokanatham	7	0	0
	Bypa	7	0	0
Dec.	Linappa	7	0	0
	Bypa	1	2	1
	Srikantia	7	0	0
	Narasaraja	7	0	0
1906 Jany.	Anantha Narayana of Bypa	5	13	11
	N. Narashmia Sastri	7	0	0
	Narasaraja	7	0	0
Feb.	Anantakamayya of Bypa	5	0	0
	Narashmia Sastri	2	12	0
	Srikantia	7	0	0
	Seenappa	7	0	0
	Narasaraja	7	0	0

1906 March	Narashmatachi	7	0 0
	Sukantia	7	0 0
	Ekkava Row	7	0 0
	Anantakanayna Ayya	7	0 0
	M. Gouri	7	0 0
	Narada Rao	7	0 0
	Gangadharaiah	7	0 0
April	Anantakanayna Ayya	7	0 0
	P. Shamanna	8	0 0
	Ekkava Row	7	0 0
May	Anantakanayna Ayya	7	0 0
	Ekkava Row	7	0 0
	Sanappa	7	0 0
June	Anantakanayna Ayya	7	0 0
July	Ekkava Row	7	0 0
	Anantakanayna Ayya	7	0 0
	Narayanaiah	7	0 0
August	Anantakanayna Ayya	7	0 0
	Ekkava Row	7	0 0
Septr	Anantakanayna Ayya	7	0 0
Octr	8 0	7	0 0
	Ekkava Row	7	0 0
Novr	Anantakanayna Ayya	7	0 0
	Ekkava Row	7	28
	Narada Rao	7	0 0

1906 Decem.	Ananthanarayana Byra	7	0	0
	T. Shamanna	8	0	0
	Venkatasami	7	0	0
1907 Jany.	M. Narayana Row	7	0	0
	Timappa	7	0	0
	G. Seshagiri Row of Byra	7	0	0
	Venkatasubbaia	7	0	0
	A. Subba Row	7	0	0
Feb.	G. Seshagiri Row of Byra	7	0	0
	T. Seshaya Row	5	12	0
	Narasaraju	7	0	0
	Gangadharaiah	7	0	0
	Timappa	7	0	0
	Lakshamana Row	7	0	0 ×
	Shamanna	7	0	0
	Venkatachalaiah	7	0	0
March	Ananthanarayana Byra	7	0	0
	Narasaraju	7	0	0
April	Ananthanarayana Byra	7	0	0
	Timappa	7	0	0
	Venkatasami	7	0	0
	Narasaraju	7	0	0
	Gangadharaiah	7	0	0
	Lokanatham	7	0	0
	Venkatasubbaia	7	0	0

1907 May.	Ananthanarayana Ayya	7	0	0	
	L. Subba Rau	7	0	0	
	Narasimhalachi	7	0	0	
June.	Ananthanarayana Ayya	7	0	0	
	Gangadharaiah	7	0	0	
	Lokanatham	7	0	0	
	Surappa	7	0	0	
July.	Ananthanarayana Ayya	7	0	0	
	Narasappa	7	0	0	
	Venkatasubbaiah	7	0	0	
	Surappa	7	0	0	
August.	Ananthanarayana Ayya	7	0	0	
	Chamanna	8	0	0	
	Ramachendraia	7	0	0	
	Lokanatham	7	0	0	
	D. Hanumantha Rau	7	0	0	
Sept.	Ananthanarayana Ayya	7	0	0	* To be Compared with Office Book
	Gangadharaiah	7	0	0	
	Revanna	7	0	0	
	Hanumanthu Rau	7	0	0	
Oct.	Ananthanarayana Ayya	7	0	0	
	Venkatasubbaia	7	0	0	
	Revanna	7	0	0	

1907 Nov.	Ananthanarayana Byra	7	0	0
	Venkatasubbaia	7	0	0
	Devamma	7	0	0
	Swamy	7	0	0
Dec.	Ananthanarayana Byra	7	0	0
	Subbaia	7	0	0
	Narasimhasastri	7	0	0
	Devamma	7	0	0
	N. Krishnappa	7	0	0
	Hanumantha Rao	7	0	0
1908 Jany.	D Hanumantha Rao	7	0	0
	M.d Sheriff	7	0	0
	Subbaiah	7	0	0
	M. Narayanappa	7	0	0
	Ananthanarayana Byra	7	0	0
Feb.	M. Narayanappa	7	0	0
	Ramachar Byra	7	0	0
	Narasimhasastri	7	0	0
	M.d Sheriff	7	0	0
	D Hanumantha Rao	7	0	0
	Chennamma	7	0	0
	Thapania	7	0	0
March	Ramachar Byra	7	0	0
	Thapania	7	0	0
	Narayanappa	7	0	0
	Narasimhasastri	7	0	9

1908 March	Lokanatham	7	0	0
	M.D. Sheriff	7	0	0
	Shanmuntha Rao	7	0	0
	Narasa Razoo	7	0	0
April	Nanachariar Bysa	7	0	0
	Narasimhacharlu	7	0	0
	Subbaiah	7	0	0
	Shanmuntha Rao	7	0	0
	M.D. Sheriff	7	0	0
May.	Venkatanarayappa	7	0	0
	N. Nanappa	7	0	0
	Venkatasubbaiah	7	0	0
	M. Krishnappa	7	0	0
	Shanmuntha Rao	7	0	0
	Nanachariar Bysa	7	0	0
June.	Venkatasami	7	0	0
June.	Lokanatham	7	0	0
	Shanmuntha Rao	7	0	0
	Venkatasami	7	0	0
	Nanachariar Bysa	7	0	0
July.	Venkatasubbaiah	7	0	0
	Lokanatham	7	0	0
	Nanachariar Bysa	7	0	0
August.	Vyasacharlu	7	0	0
	Venkatasami	7	0	0

1908 August.	Venkatalubbaiah	7	0	0	
	Ramappa	7	0	0	
	N. Krishnappa	7	0	0	
	M. Sheriff	7	0	0	
	Narasachariar Dyava	7	0	0	Drawn in the name of Dyava & paid Narayana
Septr.	Vyasachari	7	0	0	
	Venkatasami	7	0	0	
	Subbaiah	7	0	0	
	Narashimalastri	7	0	0	
	Anka	5	13	4	
	Hanumantha Row	7	0	0	
	Narachari of Narayana Row	7	0	0	In the details bazaar drawn in the name of Hanumanthaiah & paid to Narayana Row in office Copy.
Octr.	Venkatasami	7	0	0	
	A. Ranga Row	7	0	0	
	Thatacharyar	14	0	0	Includes grain allowance.
	Narasaraja	1	0	0	do.
	Narayappa	1	0	0	do
	T. Shamanna of Giriyappa Row	7	0	0	Signed in English
	Narasachari of Ranga Row	7	0	0	
Novr.	Vyasachari	14	0	0	Includes grain allowance
	Shamanna of Giriyappa	7	0	0	
Decr.	Venkatasami	7	0	0	

4/25 - 68

1909 Jany.	Narasaraju	8	0	0	
	Narasimhastri	8	0	0	
	Seshaiah	8	0	0	
	Venkatasubbaiah	8	0	0	
	N. Krishnappa	8	0	0	
	M. Sheriff	8	0	0	
	Nanjundiah	8	0	0	
	Narasimhastri	8	0	0	
	Seshaiya	8	0	0	
	Venkatasubbaiah	8	0	0	
	M. Sheriff	8	0	0	Includes pay & allowance
	A. Subbarao	8	0	0	
	Ramanantha	8	0	0	
	P. Shamanna	2	5	2	
	A. Seshachari	8	0	0	
	Venkatachari	8	0	0	
	Gunjadhanaiah	8	0	0	
	Venkatasubbaiah	8	0	0	
	D. Ramanathan	8	0	0	
	A. Subbu Rao	8	0	0	
	Narayana of Keshavachari	8	0	0	
	B. Srinivasa Rao	15	0	0	
	Venkatachari	8	0	0	
	Gunjaniah	8	0	0	

1909 April	Venkatasubbaiah	8	0	0	
	Krishnappa	8	0	0	
	D. Hanumantha Rao	8	0	0	
	M. Sheriff	8	0	0	
May.	Nanjundiah	8	0	0	
	Venkatasami	8	0	0	Includes grain allowance.
	N. Krishnappa	8	0	0	
	Venkatasubbaiah	8	0	0	
	D. Hanumantha Rao	8	0	0	
	M. Sheriff	8	0	0	
June.	Narashimastri	7	0	0	
	Narainappa	7	0	0	
	Chennasankaraiah	7	0	0	
	Venkatasubbaiah	7	0	0	
	Swamappa	7	0	0	
	N. Krishnappa	7	0	0	
	D. Hanumantha Rao	7	0	0	
	M. Sheriff	7	0	0	
xtra allowance					
	Chennappa	1	0	6	
	Kesava Rao	1	0	0	
	Narashimastri	1	0	0	
	Venkatasubbaiah	1	0	0	
	N. Krishnappa	1	0	0	

4/27

June pay allowance				
	Md Sheriff	1	0	0
	Shanmuntha Row	1	0	0
	Kistna Row	1	0	0
1909 July.	Narsimiah	6	11	4
	Devachari	7	0	0
	Narsimiah	1	2	1
	Narashimastri	7	0	0
	Venkatasubbaiah	7	0	0
	Lokanatham	7	0	0
	Venkata Row	3	6	0
	D Shanmuntha Row	7	0	0
Gpo allowance.				
	Devchappa	1	0	0
	Narainappa	1	0	0
	Narasa Raju	1	0	0
	Narashimastri	1	0	0
	Gunpabhaiah	1	0	0
	Lokanatham	1	0	0
	N. Krishnappa	1	0	0
	Md Sheriff	1	0	0
Hapst	Narsimia	8	0	0
	Ramalingaiah	7	0	0
	N. Narainappa	7	0	0
	Venkatasami	7	0	0
	Narashimastri	7	0	0

71

1909 August	Venkatalubbaiah	7	0	0
	Lingappa	7	0	0
	N. Krishnappa	7	0	0
	Md Sheriff	7	0	0
	Hanumanthaiah	7	0	0
Grain allowance				
	Sunkeraiah	1	0	0
	Ideswaran	1	0	0
	Narasimhaswami	1	0	0
	Venkatalubbaiah	1	0	0
	Lingappa	1	0	0
	N. Krishnappa	1	0	0
	Hanumanthaiah	1	0	0
Septr.	N. Narayanappa	7	0	0
	Venkatalakshmi	7	0	0
	Narasimhaswami	7	0	0
	Lakshmaiah	7	0	0
	Venkatalubbaiah	7	0	0
	Md Sheriff	7	0	0
Octr.	Sunkanna	3	9	10
	N. Narayanappa	3	6	2
	Venkatalakshmi	7	0	0
	Narasimhaswami	7	0	0
	Venkatalubbaiah	7	0	0
	N. Krishnappa	7	0	0
	Hanumanthaiah	7	0	0

1909 Nov.	Srikantia	7	0	0	
	N. Krishnappa	7	0	0	
	Narashmastri	7	0	0	
Decem.	M.d Sheriff	7	0	0	
	Narashmastri	7	0	0	
1910 Jany.	R. Seshaia	7	0	0	
	M.d Sheriff	7	0	0	
Feb.	Srikantia	7	0	0	
	R. Sampreraia	7	0	0	
March	R Seshaiah	7	0	0	
	M.d Sheriff	7	0	0	
April	Srikantia	7	0	0	
	X. Seshagiri Rao	7	0	0	X Signed Srini Vasa Lett. Seshagiri Rao
	Nanjundiahs R Sampreraiah	7	0	0	
	Narashmastri	7	0	0	
May.	Srikantia	7	0	0	
	Narashmastri	7	0	0	
	Nanjundiah R Sampreraiah	7	0	0	
June.	Srikantia	7	0	0	
	Vyasachar	7	0	0	
	Narashima Sastri	7	0	0	
	M.d Sheriff	7	0	0	
July.	Srikantia	7	0	0	
	Narashmastri	7	0	0	
	X Subba Rao	7	0	0	

4/30

1910 August.	Sreekantia	7	0	0
	Sreenivasa Row	7	0	0
	Narashma Sastri	7	0	0
Septr.	Sreekantia	7	0	0
	Sreenivasa Row	7	0	0
	Narashma Sastri	7	0	0
	Seshaia	7	0	0
Octr.	Sreekantia	7	0	0
	Sreenivasa Row	7	0	0
Novr.	Sreekantia	7	0	0
	Sreenivasa Row	7	0	0
	Shanmuntha Row	7	0	0
Decr.	Rama	7	0	0
	Cheno Sekaraiah	7	0	0
	Shanmuntha Row	7	0	0
	Cheno Row of Nanjunda	8	0	0
	Nanjunda of Rama	7	0	0.
1911 Janr.	Rama	7	0	0
	Surappa	7	0	0
	Shanmuntha Row of Sreenivasa Row	7	0	0
Feb.	Shanmuntha Row	7	0	0
March	Nanjunda of Rama	7	0	0
	Narashima Sastri	7	0	0
	Krishnappa	7	0	0

1911 April	Narashimastha of Rama	7	0	0
	Rama Row	2	5	4
	B. Girimaji	7	0	0
May.	Narayndiah	7	0	0
	Narashima Sastri of Rama	7	0	0
June	B. Hanumantha Row of Rama	3	8	0
	Da Sappa	3	8	0.
	Total Rs	38/40	3	10.

6/12/11

148

12

G. R. S. 26]

c/5 File No. 2864 1912-1

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to.

No. J 567

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

The Public Prosecutor

Bangalore Dist.

Date of issue 14/8/13

Signed by A P Rajagopalachar

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter, dt. the 1st July 1913, forwarding for sanction of Government several bills of fees for having appeared on behalf of Govt. in the cases against G. Narayana Sastry, late Circuit Nazir of the Bangalore Dist., I am directed to enquire under what proceedings were instituted where sanction of the various revision

P.T. over.

Revision petition no 44 of 1912-13 before the Dist. Magte of Bangalore

Revision Petition nos 92 to 96 of 1912-13 before the Chief Court of Mysore

SUBJECT.

<76

~~Case~~ before the district Magistrate

and the Chief Court.

I have to

Yours

Yr Secy to Govt

Hy.-129
11/8/1913

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

J 7616



Bangalore
18th August 1913

From

The Public Prosecutor
Bangalore Division

To

K. R. Srinivasiengar
Esquire M. A.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maha-
raja of Mysore, Jeneral
and Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to
State that the Revision
petitions referred to in your
letter No J 567 dated
Cb-286-12-5
14th idem were instituted
on the Strength of the
construction placed by
the District Judge, Bangalore
and by Mr. Nagappa

7 C. judge, on the forenoon of Proceedings authorizing me to conduct the prosecution against G. Narayana Sastry and under the instructions of Mr. Rajappa, Sub. Judge, asking me to file Revision petitions in the Chief Court and before the District Magistrate. The copy of the letter written by the District Judge, Bangalore, to Mr. Rajappa and the letters written by Mr. Rajappa to me in this connection are herewith sent for your information with a request that they may be kindly returned to me, if their retention is deemed unnecessary.

I have the honour
to be, Sir,
Your most obedient
Servant
Narayana
Public Prosecutor

Seventy Six bills received from Public Prosecutor, Bangalore

in connection with the Central Nazir's Case

[G.R.S. 28]

31/10 616

ab

129

File No. 2869/13

Serial No. 7

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 191 .

READ—

Letter dated the 21st April 1913 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore with three Dirs., Statg. that the Complaints filed against G. Narayana Sastry, late Central Nazir, Bangalore, in ~~ORDER THEREON—No.~~ the Court of the City Magistrate, Bangalore, have ended in acquittal and he in discharge and submitting pro memo of Govt. for bills aggregating to Rs. 1478/- R.T. over.

Date of issue 3/11/1913

Signed by S. P. Raja Gopalachari

SUBJECT.

80

on account of his fee in the above cases and in the several revision cases relating thereto which were filed in the Chief Court and also a bill for Rs 107- on account of costs incurred in the Cases.

2) Letter of the 1st July 1913 from the same officer stating that the revision petition filed in the court of the Dist. Magte., Bangalore, relating to one of the above cases was also dismissed and forwarding a bill of fees amounting to Rs. 32/- for having conducted the above revision Case.

3) Correspondence ending with letter of the 18th Aug 1913 from the same officer on the subject.

Order thereon :- No. J1316-17.

The five bills of fees read

GPB—20,000—2-10-11

with the public Prosecutor's letters

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Read above are sanctioned for an aggregate sum of Rs 1298-5-
The bill for Rs 10/- on account of
costs incurred in the cases is
also sanctioned.

for

for Secy to Govt

To

The Public Prosecutor, Bangal
returning the enclosures, received with
his letter dy the 18th Aug 1913

The Comptroller, Mysore
Govt, with six bills.

Leg.-116
29/10/13

40 Rec